

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,917	06/26/2003	Kyung-Hun Jang	784-51 (SI-19122-US)	8113
48356 MCNEELY BODENDORF LLP P.O. BOX 19010			EXAMINER	
			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/607,917	JANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRANDON S. HOFFMAN	2136		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (in) chick the petition under 37 CFR. 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee

have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). would be allowable it submitted in a separate, unley filed amendment cancering the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
now the new of amended claims would be rejected is provided below of appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
The sactus of the calmits) is (of will be) as follows.  Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. 
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. [	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P)	ΓΟ/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13. [	Other:	

/KIMYEN VU/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2135

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended language of claim 1 requires a wireless station to request an access point to perform initial authentication and the wireless station obtaining differentiated encyption keys in advance when the initial authentication of the wireless station is performed. To summarize, when the wireless station is initially authenticated by the access point, the wireless station obtains differentiated keys in advance. Cohen teaches this by delivering a plurally of keys to a wireless station in a first time period TD-T1. These keys are used for authentication and are differentiated in that they use indexes for rolling keys so one key can be updated to make the next key the transmit key. The language of claim 2 distinguishes how the plurality of keys are differentiated into separate classes.